

Minutes of Cabinet Petitions Committee

**Wednesday 13 December 2023 at 5.00pm
at Sandwell Council House, Oldbury**

Present: Councillor Millard (Chair);
Councillors Hughes and Padda.

Observing: Councillors Hemingway and Williams.

In attendance: Alice Davey (Director of Borough Economy), Mervyn Bartlett (Interim Assistant Director of Highways), Lee Constable (Strategic Lead for Assets and Land), Sharon Lang (Senior Engineer), Trisha Newton (Democratic Service Deputy Manager) and John Swann (Democratic Services Officer).

24/23 **Apologies**

No apologies for absence were received.

25/23 **Declaration of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

26/23 **Minutes**

Resolved that the minutes of the meeting held on 8 November 2023 are approved as a correct record.

27/23

Progress Report

Details were submitted of petitions received and of the action taken or proposed in each case, as detailed in the Appendix.

Agreed that the action taken or proposed, as detailed in the second column of the Appendix, be approved.

Meeting ended at 5.51pm

Appendix 1

Petition Received From	Action Taken/Proposed
1. Residents along Rooth Street, Wednesbury requesting the conversion of Rooth Street into a cul-de-sac.	Following representations from petitioners, officers were requested to investigate the feasibility and associated options to convert Rooth Street into a cul-de-sac. An update would be provided to a future meeting.
2. Residents of Myrtle Terrace, Tipton requesting double yellow lines between Bilston Road and Diane Close.	Following representations from petitioners, officers were requested to investigate options for addressing the narrow road and access challenges, and the increased traffic during school term time. Options were to include the possibility of putting double yellow lines on the carriageway. An update would be provided to a future meeting.
3. Residents of Hill Street, Tipton requesting a parking permit scheme.	Following representations from petitioners, officers were requested to explore options, including the feasibility of a parking permit scheme to address the challenges raised by the residents of Hill Street.
4. Residents of Brickhouse Lane, Wednesbury requesting a parking permit scheme.	Following representations from petitioners, officers were requested to explore options, including the feasibility of a parking permit scheme to address the challenges raised by the residents of Brickhouse Lane.
5. Residents of Eastfield Road, Tipton requesting the closing of a right-of-way route/ footpath between Eastfield and Field Road	Following representations from petitioners, officers had been requested to investigate the request to close right-of-way route/ footpath between Eastfield and Field Road. An update would be provided to a future meeting.
6. Residents in and around Wellington Road requesting the easing of traffic problems.	Petitioners had raised concerns regarding parking problems in the area. A request to utilise a nearby pot of land in the area to ease parking had been made. An update will be submitted to a future meeting.
7. Residents of Thomas Cox Wharf and Alexandra	The responsibility to progress the adoption of any new roads on a development fell

<p>Grange, Tipton, requesting adoption of roads.</p>	<p>solely on the land owner (typically the Developer) who must initially offer any new roads to the Authority for adoption and, importantly, enter into a legal adoption agreement with the Local Highway Authority (typically an agreement under Section 38 of the Highway Act). The Council had no powers to force a developer to enter into any adoption agreement. In this instance, the Developer had given the Authority every impression that it was going to enter into the necessary Section 38 agreement for adoption on numerous occasions and took discussions well beyond the cut off time allowable to undertake enforcement under Section 220. However, the Developer did not complete the necessary Section 38 agreements for the adoption of the roads or complete the necessary process. Consequently, the roads on these sites were retained as private roads. Following representations by Shaun Bailey MP in support of the residents proposing that a collaborative approach would be the way forward, the Committee requested that officers undertake further investigation into the matter considering all options available and respond to all of the issues raised in the correspondence that had been submitted over a period of time. An update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>8. Residents of Dartmouth Street. West Bromwich, requesting a parking permit scheme.</p>	<p>Following further discussions with petitioners, it was agreed that the Council's Highways department would begin work to consider the introduction of a resident parking scheme for Dartmouth Street. Time limits in relation to free parking on the street would also be reviewed. An update would be provided to a future meeting.</p>

<p>9. Residents in the vicinity of Abbey Road Schools (Abbey) requesting for a Puffin Crossing to replace the Zebra Crossing at Abbey Road Schools.</p>	<p>Abbey Road did benefit from a zebra crossing which helped to serve both Abbey Junior and infant school, as well as many other local residents in the area. Zebra crossing facilities were used outside schools as they worked more efficiently with larger numbers of pedestrians. This was because usually when a pedestrian approaches a zebra crossing, vehicles stop without much delay. Whereas on signal- controlled crossing facilities, it has to be activated by the pedestrian and then the pedestrian needs to wait for the green man to appear, before making sure the vehicles have stopped before they start crossing the carriageway. On average it took longer for a pedestrian to cross a signal-controlled crossing facility than a zebra crossing facility. Therefore, using signal-controlled crossing facilities outside schools can lead to the gathering of large numbers of pedestrians waiting for the green man in areas where footways are 2metres wide, which may not be sufficient to accommodate such large numbers. A 3-year injury collision analysis had been undertaken in the vicinity of Abbey School, which included the existing crossing facility. The analysis showed there has been one recorded injury collision during this period, which involved a 47- year old pedestrian on the crossing facility. The contributory factors to the incident are reported as ‘wrong use of pedestrian crossing facility’ and ‘impaired by alcohol’. It was accepted that as the zebra crossing was at the top of a hill, visibility could sometimes be poor and that a Crossing Guard had previously been present at Abbey Junior and infant school at the start and end of the school day to assist pupils to cross the carriageway. Officers had been identified to explore moving the</p>
---	---

	<p>nearby bus stop markings on the carriageway away from the zebra crossing to increase visibility. Following further representations from residents Officers undertook to ensure that the zebra crossing Belisha beacons were working correctly and if broken, to fix them urgently. The Committee requested that officers undertake further investigation into the matter considering all options available.</p>
<p>10. Residents of Park Lane West, Tipton, requesting residents parking outside nos. 173-184.</p>	<p>The red route is place along Park Lane West was to help keep the road clear of obstructions during the busiest times throughout the day. The parking was therefore restricted during the hours of 7am to 7pm and residents and visitors can park outside of these times when the highway network is quieter. There would be too many obstructions during peak times if the red route restriction was removed along this section of Park Lane West. This was not therefore being recommended by Highways at this time.</p>
<p>11. Residents in and around Hancox Street, requesting double yellow lines for part of Hancox Street.</p>	<p>The request for double yellow lines for part of Hancox Street, Oldbury, had been placed on file to be considered during the next parking review of this area. The introduction of parking restrictions followed a statutory process that required a traffic regulation order (TRO) to be made. It was normal practice to review each area of the borough in turn and include approximately 30 locations when making a new TRO. The advertising stage of the TRO process did allow members of the public to view plans and object. Should objections be received that could not be resolved, the matter would be presented to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Highways for a decision. It was approved that the petition be</p>

	closed. The head petitioner had been informed.
<p>12. Residents in and around Gospel Oak Road, Tipton, requesting the creation of a car park on Gospel Oak Road.</p>	<p>The land identified at Gospel Oak Road, Tipton was a Council owned asset. Whilst the demand for local parking had been noted, the conversion of this land in its current state, along with its overall topography, would have required considerable capital expenditure to convert it to the required standard for use as a car park and the identification of significant revenue budgets for its ongoing maintenance. Whilst the Council recognised the ever- increasing pressures on transport infrastructure, there were already multiple designated ‘on road’ parking spaces along the length of Gospel Oak Road from numbers 2-82 respectively to support residents, and visitors to local businesses. The land was currently zoned as a Wildlife Corridor and had also been identified in the draft Sandwell Local Plan (out for consultation) as a potential future nature conservation area, in order to support biodiversity in the Borough. The creation of the car park was therefore not supported due to budgetary constraints and objectives. It was approved that the petition be closed. The head petitioner had been informed.</p>
<p>13. Residents of Yew Tree and Charlemont Estates concerning the withdrawal of the no. 45 bus service.</p>	<p>Transport for West Midlands (TfWM) was now funding the 45 bus service which would continue to be operated by Diamond Bus and remained unchanged. TfWM had confirmed that the operation of the route would be continuing. It was approved that the petition be closed. The head petitioner had been informed.</p>
<p>14. Residents of Oldacre Road, requesting parking restrictions for non-</p>	<p>A residents’ permit parking scheme had been considered for Oldacre Road, and the scheme could only be implemented on</p>

residents during school pick- up/ drop- off times.

one side of the road. This was because the carriageway was narrow and double yellow lines would have been required on the opposite side of the road to prevent double parking, which could lead to highway obstructions. Introducing the permit scheme on one side of the road would leave enough space for only one permit per household and would not reserve or guarantee a parking space. As an alternative, single yellow lines could be introduced on both sides of the entire length of Oldacre Road, with parking being restricted during school drop off and pick up times. However, residents would also have to comply with those parking restrictions, which was likely to cause inconvenience. In addition, Penalty Charge Notices couldn't be issued immediately because an observation period that must be adhered to. In addition to this, the parking restrictions needed to be enforced by the Civil Enforcement Officers who undertook enforcement around all schools in Sandwell. It was not possible for Civil Enforcement Officers to be outside a particular school every day. The parking restrictions would need to be advertised as part of the statutory process for implementing traffic regulation orders. This would give anyone the right to object and the outcome of proposals for parking restrictions couldn't be guaranteed. Under these circumstances, Highways would not recommend the implementation of permit parking or parking restrictions because parents were likely to continue to park for the short period of time required to drop off and pick up children. It was approved that the petition be closed. The head petitioner had been informed.

15. Residents of Herbert Street in West Bromwich Central requesting for the easing of parking restrictions

Herbert Street, West Bromwich, was a residential road located in the town centre near Bull Street. Most properties were terraced houses without off street parking. A residents' parking scheme was in operation at all times. The introduction of residents' parking schemes required residents to submit a petition making the request. Where schemes could be designed to address the issues raised, questionnaires are sent to residents to establish the level of support for a permit scheme. For Herbert Street, West Bromwich, the majority of residents were in favour of a permit scheme and for it to operate at all times. Parking issues occurred in residential roads that were within walking distance of West Bromwich town centre. Those working in the town or visiting would often park in nearby roads to avoid parking charges. This had a detrimental effect on parking for residents. Some residents had reported they cannot park near their homes and must either wait for a space to become available or try and find a parking space in other nearby residential roads. When shop workers park in a road it can be 8pm before cars are moved. The parking that takes place at present in Herbert Street would be residents with permits or their visitors. Relaxing the restrictions would allow others to park in the road overnight, and this would not improve the parking situation for residents. It was approved that the petition be closed. The head petitioner had been informed.

Contact: democratic_services@sandwell.gov.uk